

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Manston Airport](#)
Subject: Fwd: Manston Airport Case
Date: 09 July 2021 09:30:53
Attachments: [Screenshot 2021-07-08 at 18.01.24.png](#)

:Manston Airport Case

To whom it may concern.

My objection to Manston Airport - apart from the obvious health issues, pollution and disregard to the thousands of people that would be effected by this ridiculous scheme and I am not being misled by the promise of thousands of jobs by River Oak either and the benefit to the community. Just as people leave London and big cities for a better quality of life and move to places in Kent for the benefits of less pollution, and a cleaner environment - this would be a disaster on so many levels.

Please add my name to other objectors**Christine Istead**

[REDACTED]

I also run a company that will also be effected by the above:

Artist Partners Limited

[REDACTED]

My statement is :

Given all the above evidence, the ExA concludes that the levels of freight that the Proposed Development could expect to handle are modest and could be catered for at existing airports (Heathrow, Stansted, EMA, and others if the demand existed). The ExA considers that Manston appears to offer no obvious advantages to outweigh the strong competition that such airports offer. The ExA therefore concludes that the Applicant has failed to demonstrate sufficient need for the Proposed Development, additional to (or different from) the need which is met by the provision of existing airports.